



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 5

Smith & Hopen PA
15950 Bay Vista Drive
Suite 220
Clearwater, Florida 33760

MAILED
AUG 26 2003
Technology Center 2100

In re Application of: William A. Dodd)
Application No. 10/065,467) DECISION ON PETITION FOR
Filed: October 22, 2002) ACCELERATED EXAMINATION
For: FLEET MAINTENANCE METHOD) UNDER M.P.E.P. §708.02(VIII)

This is a decision on the petition, filed June 18, 2003 under 37 C.F.R. §1.102(d) and M.P.E.P. §708.02(VIII): Accelerated Examination, to make the above-identified application special.

M.P.E.P. §708.02, Section VIII which sets out the prerequisites for a grantable petition for Accelerated Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.102(d) states in relevant part:

A new application (one which has not received any examination by the examiner) may be granted special status provided that applicant (and this term includes applicant's attorney or agent) complies with each of the following items:

(a) Submits a petition to make special accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h);

(b) Presents all claims directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status;

(c) Submits a statement(s) that a pre - examination search was made, listing the field of search by class and subclass, publication, Chemical Abstracts, foreign patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement;

(d) Submits one copy each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record; and

(e) Submits a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out, with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c), *how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.*

In those instances where the request for this special status does not meet all the prerequisites set forth above, *applicant will be notified and the defects in the request will be stated.* The application will remain in the status of a new application awaiting action in its regular turn. In those instances where a request is defective in one or more respects, applicant will be given

Decision on Petition to Make Special

one opportunity to perfect the request in a renewed petition to make special. If perfected, the request will then be granted. If not perfected in the first renewed petition, any additional renewed petitions to make special may or may not be considered at the discretion of the Group Special Program Examiner.

Applicant's submission is deficient in that it states "The discussion and comments that follow are not intended to defend the patentability of the referenced patent application..". Subparagraph (e) reprinted above requires that the submission point out how the *claimed subject matter is patentable over the references*. Since applicant submits that the statement are not intended to establish patentability over the references deemed most closely related, Applicant fails to meet the conditions for grant of special status.

Accordingly, the Petition is **DISMISSED**. The application file is being forwarded to Central Files to await examination in its proper turn based on its effective filing date.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within two months of the mailing date of this decision.

Pinchus M. Laufer

Pinchus M. Laufer
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture, Software, and Information Security
(703) 306-4160